UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
Plaintiff,)		
V.)	No.:	3:07-CR-61-TAV-HBG
)		
FREDRICK O. BLACK,)		
)		
Defendant.)		

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the defendant's motion for reduction of sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c) [Doc. 96]. The defendant was convicted of one count of possession with intent to distribute cocaine base and one count of possession of cocaine hydrochloride. He was sentenced to 120 months' imprisonment on April 21, 2009.

On August 3, 2010, Congress passed the Fair Sentencing Act ("FSA"). Pub. L. No. 111-220, 124 Stat. 2372. The FSA lowered the statutory penalties for crack cocaine offenses under 21 U.S.C. § 841.

The defendant seeks a sentence reduction in light of *United States v. Blewett*, 719 F.3d 482 (2013). In *Blewett*, a divided panel of the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals held that the Equal Protection Clause requires application of the FSA's reduced statutory penalties to motions to reduce otherwise-final sentences under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c), regardless of when a defendant was originally sentenced. 719 F.3d at 490–94.

The government petitioned the Court of Appeals for a rehearing en banc. Given

that motion, the government moved this Court to hold the defendant's motion in

abeyance pending resolution of that petition. For reasons explained in a prior order [Doc.

102], the Court held the defendant's motion in abeyance.

The Court of Appeals ultimately granted the government's petition, and on

December 3, 2013, reversed. The en banc panel held that the FSA's "new mandatory

minimums do not apply to defendants sentenced before it took effect" and that "§

3582(c)(2) does not provide a vehicle for circumventing that interpretation." — F.3d —,

2013 WL 6231727, at *2 (6th Cir. Dec. 3, 2013), cert. denied, 2014 WL 859676 (Mar.

31, 2014). The en banc panel further held that the Constitution does not dictate a

different result. Id.

Accordingly, because *Blewett* no longer supports the defendant's motion for a

sentence reduction, the defendant's motion [Doc. 96] is hereby **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Thomas A. Varlan

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2